

Letty Mundt: Poststructural Critique Draft Feedback

This is a strong draft. I think you pose a nice question, float Adelman as one way of addressing that question, and extend Adelman's analysis nicely into new territory. This is what academic work looks like, and you're doing it well. However, I would still offer a couple of large-scale correctives (directives?) to what I see here, to make your analysis sing out a bit more clearly:

- 1) I think you're doing something unique here, which can and should be clearly distinguished from Adelman's argument. However, the way you talk about gender is *very* similar to her analysis. This isn't a problem, so long as you're crystal-clear about how your work extends Adelman's. You bring in Butler, obviously. But one thing you don't say or show as clearly as possible is this: Adelman is really thinking about what's going on in Hamlet's head. But Butler sees gender as something that functions more broadly in a cultural way, within and between people. Much like Foucault. That obviously has psychic effects, which seem to preoccupy your own argument. But I think you can distinguish your work from Adelman's more clearly by focusing your attention on the way gender is at work on this culture, not on Hamlet.
- 2) A major piece of Butler's seems relevant here, but never makes it into your own essay: the idea that "troubling" gender is a positive thing, as it helps reveal the gender-fiction for what it is (a fiction). You suggest throughout that gender norms are "crumbling" around Hamlet—this is something a Butlerian reading would seize upon and flag as a positive thing. Then the question becomes: does this make Hamlet look like an idiot? An oppressor? A victim?
- 3) All of these comments might have you reconfiguring your close readings a bit. One thing that occurs to me (as I say below) is that the very act of surveilling gender seems to coded masculine. Another thought: you might take a look at how Polonius sets "rules" for Laertes's behavior, and then both Laertes and Polonius set "rules" for Ophelia's behavior. While a large revision, this work might help you shift your argument from Hamlet's psychology to the way gender is working in this culture.

Letty Mundt

28 April 2017

Literary Theory and Writing

Professor Scheler

“Frailty, Thy Name is Woman!”: How Hamlet Confronts and Clings to the Gender Binary^[DS1]

One of the most obvious driving forces in *Hamlet* is^[DS2] the heavy mourning the title character exhibits for his lost, murdered father—Hamlet's grief is an understandable reaction from someone who has lost something precious. But what is so troubling about Hamlet's ensuing cyclone of emotions is that, in his bereavement, Hamlet verbally attacks both his mother, Gertrude, and his love interest, Ophelia, with seemingly off-topic insults about their sexuality and their attitudes toward the various situations at hand^[DS3]. This is especially curious and problematic because Gertrude and Ophelia are the only named women in the play—and to ignore the gender politics entrenched in Hamlet's attack on them is to deny gender its significance within the play as a whole. With the help of Judith Butler's methodological work in *Gender Trouble*, sense can be made of why Hamlet, in his most vulnerable moments, goes after the less-distressed women around him: because he is seeing his sorrow and their lack thereof as a weakness that goes against his presupposed identity as a man, and disrupts the socially-constructed gender binary, where men are strong and more respectable than women, who are to be pitied.^[DS4] Hamlet in mourning finds himself acting in a manner perceived as “womanlike,” and the insecurities that result from such a label in combination with the “manlier” behavior of the women around him move him to attempt a reassertion of the gender binary. He does so by identifying and condemning other “womanly” behaviors he can still see performed by Ophelia

and Gertrude, so that he may perceive them as weak women back in their “rightful place,” and himself as a respectable man by [comparison](#)^[DS5].

Judith Butler's *Gender Trouble* as a methodology stresses the reality of how gendered behaviors, actions, and identity are merely manifested from a societal body. In fact, Butler goes so far as to say that social politics imply a “redescription of gender as the disciplinary production of the figures of fantasy” (Butler 2496) and that “the gendered body is performative... it has no ontological status apart from the various acts that constitute its reality” (2497). [In essence](#)^[DS6], there is no [physical foundation for gender](#)^[DS7], biological or otherwise; [it is an identity constructed from our actions and how we associate those actions with a perceived gender: ultimately male or female](#)^[DS8]. This in turn fabricates a strict gender binary that is not essential to humankind, but nevertheless exists and creates imaginary, impossible goals for those subjected to it, primarily the goals of being like the fictitious “ideal man” or “ideal woman.” Butler comments on how a clear representation of one's gender is “desired for, wished for, idealized” (2497), but yet, these idealized versions of each gender are unattainable, for gender is a [spectrum, not a binary](#)^[DS9], and every individual realistically falls somewhere in between. This likely creates a significant amount of pressure for those who lament to find themselves more “in the middle” than they would like, especially for self-identifying men who believe in the societal fiction that the “ideal,” hyper-masculine man is worth more than the “ideal,” effeminate woman, and failure to be as masculine as possible lowers a man's value. Thus, when men chain themselves to these impossible standards, perhaps they might go out of their way to fight to keep the gender binary intact, so that they might remain “men” in their people's eyes and reap the societal benefits.

Scholar Janet Adelman toys with the functions of gender in *Hamlet*, and although she employs a much different methodology than Butler's and focuses more on the play of the maternal and paternal bodies inside the text, there is one section of her argument that hints at how Hamlet struggles with the deterioration of expected gendered behaviors in his own life and family. Most of Adelman's work is a discussion on Hamlet's aversion to his mother's sexuality from the perspective of a son, but later on, she brings in the idea that Hamlet's disgust is in part a result of his perception that “the male body [is] spoiled by the female in intercourse” (Adelman 267), acknowledging Hamlet's belief that the socially-constructed “man” is purer or more valuable than the “woman.” Adelman then implies this idea further, suggesting “Hamlet imagines man struggling against his one defect—the mark of his bondage to a feminized Nature or Fortune.” While just a small piece of Adelman's larger argument, the notion that Hamlet sees feminine nature as a “defect” implies his commitment to the gender binary Butler critiques. It also implies Hamlet must think if he acts in a way perceived as “feminine” by societal standards, he will be “contaminated” (266) and need to combat that contamination and reestablish himself as a man in terms of what the idealized man looks like in his [society](#)^[DS10].

In the play itself, it is easily seen how Hamlet is imprisoned by the gendered expectations of the world around him, and is humiliated when called out for performing actions associated with the binary female—notably, the act of mourning, which is established within the societal structure of the play as something feminine. The first time Hamlet is ever seen with his family, he is criticized by his uncle for grieving over his father, when grief is an inappropriate reaction for this society's “man”: “But to persever/ In obstinate condolment is a course/ Of impious stubbornness, 'tis [unmanly](#)^[DS11] grief” (*Hamlet* 1.2.92-94). In contrast, when later on in the play Ophelia's own father is murdered, it is said that when she “speaks much of her father” (4.5 4)

“her mood will needs **be pitied**^[DS12]” (3). Since she is a woman in her society, grief is natural, to be expected; the ideal woman is weak and submissive to emotion. Therefore, being **chastised**^[DS13] for his vulnerability must create a great deal of dissonance for Hamlet, who knows his society’s ideal man is strong and does not mourn as the “weaker” sex does. Hamlet exhibits this frustration when Claudius exits, instantly launching into a self-loathing soliloquy, lamenting, “O that this too too **sallied**^[DS14] flesh would melt” (1.2 129), clearly hurt, embarrassed, and enraged by the comments made about him. This scene in a gender-centered context establishes the expectations of the gender binary in Hamlet's society, and precedents how Hamlet cannot bear his disruption of that dichotomy if it makes him appear more traditionally feminine—and therefore “weak.”

But it is not just his own actions that call Hamlet's attention to the atypical portrayals of gender around him, for in that same aforementioned soliloquy, Hamlet moves from a self-loathing ramble to a bitter discussion of his mother and an attempted put-down of women like her, and women in general. He reasserts the societal conclusion that “**Frailty, thy name is woman**^[DS15]!” (126) and attacks the manner in which his mother fails to perform her own “womanly” grief at Old Hamlet's death: “O God, a beast that wants discourse of reason/ Would have mourn'd longer” (150-151). Without the help of Butler, it might seem as though Hamlet is only criticizing Gertrude for her disrespect of his father's honor, but the fact that Hamlet is so self-conscious of his “unmanly” mourning behavior makes it apparent how he might be also furious at his mother because of his stubborn grip on fictional gender expectations. Gertrude, as a woman, is not even in mourning for Old Hamlet herself, making her calm behavior more “**manly**^[DS16]” than Hamlet's, according to their society's gender standards on grief. Hamlet cannot wrap his head around it, and claims “it cannot come to good” (158) how his mother is acting. He

is both furious and afraid—for not only is Hamlet slipping away from the unattainable dream of being the “ideal man,” but his mother is no longer playing the part of his kingdom’s ideal, pitiable, submissive woman, and it upsets his perception of his place in the gender binary further.

And so, Hamlet in his panic and fury seeks to reassert the crumbling gender roles around him wherever he can, desperate to maintain the dichotomy and his identity as a respectable man. If he cannot “outman” Gertrude when it comes to mourning, Hamlet feels he must find another way to perceive himself once more as a “man” and Gertrude as a “woman” so that he no longer feels weak and worthy of ridicule. The imaginary binary becomes a weapon to Hamlet—he looks for what he can still see as “womanly” within Gertrude, and aggressively calls it into the spotlight. When he is alone with her, he chooses to pinpoint her sexuality, proclaiming her disgusting for being seduced by Claudius: “Nay, but to live/ In the rank sweat of an enseamed bed,/ Stew'd in corruption, honeying and making love/ Over the nasty sty!” (3.4 91-94). Instead of staying focused on the betrayal done to his father, Hamlet takes the time to sidetrack to the topic of sexual endeavors, berating Gertrude for giving herself to Claudius—even when his mother pleads for him to stop his verbal onslaught: “O, speak to me no more!/ These words like daggers enter in my ears” (95-96). By attempting to put his mother in her place for her “piteous action” (126), Hamlet also attempts to assert a “manly” dominance over Gertrude and the sinful, “womanly” way she lets “the bloat king tempt [her] again to bed” (181). In Gertrude's sexual endeavors, Hamlet can still see an expectation of the gender binary: that women are weak, shameful creatures easily overpowered and tempted by men. He takes the time to evidence the “truth” of that binary expectation with Gertrude’s behavior so he can distance himself from her “womanly” image. Hamlet is already enraged at the “unmanly” mourning he presented, and going out of his way to put his mother back in her socially-expected

place is a way for Hamlet to prove to himself he is still more of a “man” than women like Gertrude. He even finishes the scene by telling Gertrude to “go not to [his] uncle's bed” (160) and instead “repent what's past, avoid what is to come” (150)—noting his own virtue in contrast to her vice (152-154). A low blow, but it's a way for Hamlet to feel as though his masculinity is not lost after all.

It is not even his mother alone whom Hamlet attempts to wield the gender binary weapon on—he attacks his love interest, Ophelia, in a similar way, proving his gender-based fears extend deeper than just Gertrude's and his own deviance. Ophelia is introduced as a traditionally submissive feminine character who allows her father and brother to dictate the extent (and end) of her romantic and sexual life behind the scenes (1.3 1-136), but from Hamlet's perspective, he only sees that she is a woman successfully taking the authority to break off their relationship. Ophelia comments to her father on the action: “as you did command/ I did repel his letters, and denied/ His access to me” (2.1 105-107). Polonius notes in turn that “that hath made [Hamlet] mad” (108), and apart from the obvious fact that any man would be upset to lose his romantic partner, in Hamlet's gender-sensitive state after Claudius' comments on his “unmanliness,” Ophelia seemingly taking charge and dismissing him would come off as another shot to Hamlet's already-endangered masculinity. If the “ideal female” is mournful and submissive, Ophelia's assertiveness would only make Hamlet feel further disenfranchised as a man.

And just like with Gertrude, Hamlet seeks to correct this disrespect of the gender binary by calling out the “female” identity and sexuality he can still see in place around him, this time in Ophelia. Hamlet has no plot-related reason to shame Ophelia for the negative associations with her gender, but yet he clearly feels the need to reassert her “place” in the binary, as he claims that “wise men know/ well enough what monsters you [women] make of them” (3.2 137-

138). He also suggests that women “jig and amble, and you [lisp,] you nickname God's/ creatures and make your wantonness [your] ignorance” (143-144), demeaning them again to their sexuality. Hamlet goes on extensively about the sinful and otherwise destructive “jig and amble” of women, pretending he comes out as the “wise man” who knows not to trust women like Ophelia. This aggressive display and Ophelia's subsequent dejected submission (149-160) would allow Hamlet to feel as though Ophelia's performance of womanly “weakness”—contrasted with his “wisdom”—validates his masculinity again and earns him respect as a man after all, despite his earlier mourning. It also extends his bullying self-reassurances outside of his mother and onto a larger representation of women, so that he can further feel “manly” in comparison.

A perspective through the lens of Butler's gender theory is just one of many explanations for Hamlet's abrasive behavior toward the play's two female characters, but it does largely make sense of why Gertrude and Ophelia are the two characters who receive the bulk of Hamlet's verbal aggression. They are extensively called out for the way they behave as “women” simply because the binary-believing Hamlet wants to feel more like a man by comparison. It is the invented societal expectations about how the idealized man and woman should behave that paralyze Hamlet in his tracks, urging him to relentlessly attack what he sees in Gertrude and Ophelia as “feminine,” because he cannot bear to see any femininity with himself—since being perceived as a man in his society is unfortunately worth more than being perceived as a woman, as is seen through Claudius' and Hamlet's sexist scorn. Even more unfortunately, that attitude is still held in place by most modern societies, where there remains a deep-seated urge to cling onto the mythical gender binary and the idea that all of us should behave, perform, and *conform* in ways according to that binary. Perhaps one day, Butler's hopes will come to fruition, and the binary will disappear altogether—and those like Hamlet can feel secure in their identity without

the problematic urge to put down the “femininity” in themselves and others, recognizing all forms of gender and self-expression as equal.

Works Cited

Adelman, Janet. "'Man and Wife Is One Flesh': *Hamlet* and the Confrontation with the Maternal Body." *Hamlet*. Ed. Susanne L. Wofford. Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's, 1994. 256-82.

Butler, Judith. "Gender Trouble." *The Norton Anthology of Theory and Criticism*. Ed. Vincent B. Leitch. New York: W. W. Norton & Company, Inc, 2001. 2485-2501.

Shakespeare, William. *Hamlet*. 1603. *Case Studies in Contemporary Criticism*. Ed. Susanne L. Wofford. New York: Bedford/St. Martin's, 1994. Print.